

# Tunable paramagnetic relaxation enhancements by $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ for protein structure analysis

Hiromasa Yagi · Karin V. Loscha · Xun-Cheng Su · Mitchell Stanton-Cook · Thomas Huber · Gottfried Otting

Received: 22 February 2010 / Accepted: 26 March 2010 / Published online: 20 April 2010  
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2010

**Abstract** Paramagnetic relaxation enhancements (PRE) present a powerful source of structural information in nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies of proteins and protein–ligand complexes. In contrast to conventional PRE reagents that are covalently attached to the protein, the complex between gadolinium and three dipicolinic acid (DPA) molecules,  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ , can bind to proteins in a non-covalent yet site-specific manner. This offers straightforward access to PREs that can be scaled by using different ratios of  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  to protein, allowing quantitative distance measurements for nuclear spins within about 15 Å of the  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  ion. Such data accurately define the metal position relative to the protein, greatly enhancing the interpretation of pseudocontact shifts induced by  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complexes of paramagnetic lanthanide ( $\text{Ln}^{3+}$ ) ions other than gadolinium. As an example we studied the quaternary structure of the homodimeric GCN4 leucine zipper.

**Keywords** Lanthanide tags · Leucine zipper · NMR spectroscopy · Paramagnetic relaxation enhancements · Pseudocontact shifts

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10858-010-9416-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

H. Yagi · K. V. Loscha · X.-C. Su · G. Otting (✉)  
Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University,  
Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia  
e-mail: gottfried.otting@anu.edu.au

M. Stanton-Cook · T. Huber  
School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, University  
of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

## Introduction

Paramagnetic tags have become a widely used source of structural information in studies of proteins and macromolecular interactions by NMR spectroscopy (for recent reviews see, e.g., Clore and Iwahara 2009; Su and Otting 2010). Paramagnetic centers can be made of stable organic radicals or paramagnetic metal ions. Nitroxide radicals,  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  ions stand out for their long electronic relaxation times which lead to paramagnetic relaxation enhancements (PRE) by a dipole–dipole mechanism that decreases as  $r^{-6}$  with increasing distance  $r$  between the paramagnetic center and the nuclear spin (Solomon 1955; Bloembergen and Morgan 1961). PREs from other metal ions are more problematic because metal ions with rapidly relaxing unpaired electron spins change the chemical shifts. In addition, they relax nuclear spins by the Curie relaxation mechanism (Guéron 1975; Vega and Fiat 1976) and cross-correlation effects between nuclear relaxation due to chemical shift anisotropy and Curie relaxation compromise the usual  $r^{-6}$  distance dependence of PRE (Pintacuda et al. 2004a). In contrast, PREs from nitroxides,  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  are readily interpreted by a  $r^{-6}$  distance dependence. Unlike other paramagnetic metals, these probes also preserve the chemical shifts in the NMR spectrum.

Nitroxides,  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  ions are highly paramagnetic and the resulting PREs cause excessive line broadening of NMR signals of nuclear spins close to the paramagnetic center. This makes it difficult to explore structural features in the vicinity of one of these paramagnetic probes if it is covalently attached to the molecule of interest. Here we present an alternative approach, using a  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  complex that binds non-covalently yet site-specifically to measure PRE effects quantitatively near the paramagnetic center.

We recently showed that the 3:1 complex between dipicolinic acid (DPA) and lanthanide ions ( $\text{Ln}^{3+}$ ),  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ , binds site-specifically to a number of proteins with dissociation constants  $K_D$  ranging from tens of micromoles to millimoles (Su et al. 2009). For all proteins studied to date, the  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complexes are in fast exchange between the bound and free states (Su et al. 2009). Using  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ , the magnitude of the PRE effect can thus be tuned simply by the ratio of  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  complex to protein. This allows PRE measurements over a wide distance range, starting from nuclear spins in the vicinity of the paramagnetic ion. In addition, using the structure coordinates of the binding site, PREs of nuclear spins near the metal can be used for accurate determination of the metal position. The lanthanide coordinates determined using  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  can subsequently be used to assist in the interpretation of pseudocontact shifts (PCS) induced by  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complexes with paramagnetic lanthanides other than  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$ .

PCSs contain unique long-range structural information with outstanding potential for 3D structure determinations of proteins and protein–protein and protein–ligand complexes (Arnesano et al. 2005; Balayssac et al. 2008; Bertini et al. 2008; Otting 2008; Pintacuda et al. 2007; Ubbink 2009). PCSs depend on the polar coordinates  $r$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\phi$  of the nuclear spin with respect to the principal axes of the magnetic susceptibility anisotropy ( $\Delta\chi$ ) tensor of the metal ion and the axial and rhombic components of the  $\Delta\chi$  tensor

$$\Delta\delta^{\text{PCS}} = 1/(12\pi r^3)[\Delta\chi_{\text{ax}}(3\cos^2\theta - 1) + 1.5\Delta\chi_{\text{rh}}\sin^2\theta\cos 2\phi] \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta\delta^{\text{PCS}}$  denotes the pseudocontact shift measured in ppm. Interpretation of PCSs thus requires fitting of the eight parameters of the  $\Delta\chi$  tensor ( $\Delta\chi_{\text{ax}}$ ,  $\Delta\chi_{\text{rh}}$ , three Euler angles relating the  $\Delta\chi$  tensor to the molecular frame and the x, y, z coordinates of the metal ion) to the molecular coordinates. Prior determination of the metal coordinates using PREs reduces the fit to five parameters.

The present work used the GCN4 leucine zipper as a model system. GCN4 is a transcriptional regulator with a dimeric leucine zipper in the last 33 residues of the protein. The leucine zipper forms a highly stable (O'Shea et al. 1989; Matousek et al. 2007) two-stranded, parallel coiled coil (O'Shea et al. 1991). NMR data show only a single set of resonances for both monomers, indicating that the dimer is symmetric in the time average (Oas et al. 1990; O'Donoghue et al. 1996). Establishing the packing mode of coiled-coil proteins by NMR spectroscopy requires unconventional means (O'Donoghue et al. 1996; Zweckstetter et al. 2005).

In the following we show that  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  binds site-specifically to the GCN4 leucine zipper, use the PREs to

locate the lanthanide ion, determine the  $\Delta\chi$  tensors of different  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complexes bound to the peptide, and use the PCSs to assess the quaternary structure of the peptide. In addition, intermolecular PREs from non-specifically binding  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  are compared to those from the established  $\text{Gd}(\text{DTPA-BMA})$  probe (Pintacuda and Otting 2002).

## Materials and methods

Uniformly  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled GCN4 leucine zipper was expressed and purified as a C-terminal fusion with His<sub>6</sub>-tagged ubiquitin followed by cleavage with His-tagged deubiquitinating enzyme (Baker et al. 2005) to yield the authentic amino terminus. Details are described in the Supporting Information. The amino acid sequence of the resulting 33 residue peptide was RMKQLEDKVEELLSKKNYHLENE VARLKKLVGER.

NMR spectra were recorded using 0.3 or 1.5 mM (monomer) solutions of leucine zipper in 20 mM MES buffer, pH 6.0, at 25°C on Bruker Avance 600 and 800 MHz NMR spectrometers equipped with TCI cryoprobes. The backbone amide resonances were assigned using a 3D NOESY- $^{15}\text{N}$ -HSQC spectrum.

The binding affinity of  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  to the GCN4 peptide was measured by monitoring the  $^1\text{H}$  chemical shifts of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -HSQC cross peaks with increasing concentrations of the  $[\text{Yb}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex. The  $K_D$  value was fitted using a 1:1 binding model.

All relaxation measurements were performed at a  $^1\text{H}$  NMR frequency of 800 MHz. Transverse  $^1\text{H}$  relaxation rates were measured by two time point (0 and 13.5 ms) measurements (Iwahara et al. 2007). Longitudinal  $^1\text{H}$  relaxation rates were measured by preceding the  $^{15}\text{N}$ -HSQC pulse sequence by a  $180^\circ(^1\text{H})$  pulse and a relaxation delay (inversion-recovery; Vold et al. 1968). Transverse and longitudinal PREs ( $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_1$ , respectively) induced by  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  were determined as the difference in paramagnetic and diamagnetic relaxation rates, where paramagnetic relaxation rates were measured in the presence of  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ . The diamagnetic relaxation rates were measured at a peptide concentration of 0.3 mM without lanthanide complex present. This data set was used as diamagnetic reference for all paramagnetic relaxation data. Diamagnetic  $^1\text{H}$  transverse relaxation rates  $R_{2,\text{dia}}^H$  were obtained by fitting the measured peak intensities of the two-point experiments to a two-parameter exponential equation (Ferretti and Weiss 1989). Transverse PREs induced by  $\text{Gd}(\text{DTPA-BMA})$  were measured as for  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ .

Diamagnetic longitudinal  $^1\text{H}$  relaxation rates  $R_{1,\text{dia}}^H$  were measured by inversion-recovery- $^{15}\text{N}$ -HSQC spectra using

relaxation delays of 3 ms, 1 s, and 5 s and an inter-scan recovery delay of 5 s. The measured peak intensities were fitted to a two-parameter exponential equation (Ferretti and Weiss 1989).

Transverse  $^{15}\text{N}$  relaxation rates  $R_2^N$  were determined by fitting a series of measured peak intensities from  $^{15}\text{N}$ -HSQC-type spectra (Farrow et al. 1994) recorded with delays  $T = 17.3, 34.6, 51.8, 69.1, 86.4, 103.7, 121.0,$  and  $138.2$  ms to a two-parameter exponential equation.

PCSs were measured as the difference of the  $^1\text{H}$  chemical shifts observed in the  $^{15}\text{N}$ -HSQC spectrum measured in the presence of paramagnetic  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  ( $\text{Ln} = \text{Tm}^{3+}, \text{Tb}^{3+},$  or  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$ ) minus the corresponding chemical shifts measured in the presence of the diamagnetic reference,  $[\text{Y}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ . Each  $^{15}\text{N}$ -HSQC spectrum was recorded at a  $^1\text{H}$  NMR frequency of 600 MHz using 0.1 mM peptide concentration and  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ -to-peptide ratios of up to five for  $[\text{Tm}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Tb}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  and up to three in the case of  $[\text{Yb}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ . PCSs were fitted to the structure of the leucine zipper (O'Shea et al. 1991) using Numbat (Schmitz et al. 2008). Numbat fits  $\Delta\chi$  tensors to NMR models by minimizing the difference between experimental PCS and the average PCS computed for all models using a single metal position. By presenting the GCN4 leucine zipper dimer coordinates as the coordinates of two NMR models, this option automatically takes into account the PCSs to identical protons in the two monomers, except that the  $\Delta\chi$  tensors need to be scaled two-fold to undo the averaging.

Molecular structures were plotted using Molmol (Koradi et al. 1996).

## Results

### Binding of $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$

The lanthanide-DPA complex binds to the peptide with a dissociation constant  $K_D$  of about 0.5 mM as measured from chemical shift changes observed in a titration experiment with increasing amounts of  $[\text{Yb}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  (Fig. S1). Although the leucine zipper is a dimer with two binding sites for the  $[\text{Yb}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex, simultaneous population of both sites occurred in less than 15% of the dimers at the maximal concentrations used in the titration experiment, justifying use of a 1:1 binding model for determining the  $K_D$  value.

Increasing amounts of  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  led to broadening and disappearance of an increasing number of cross-peaks near the N-terminus of the peptide (Fig. 1). Chemical shift changes were limited to the vicinity of the N-terminus and also observed upon titration with diamagnetic  $[\text{Y}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  (Fig. S1), in agreement with a specific binding site near the

N-terminus of the peptide. No amide proton signal could be observed for Met2 because of too rapid proton exchange with the solvent.


### PRE data

Quantitative PRE measurements show by far the largest effects near the N-terminus (Fig. 2). This demonstrates that the two helices of the dimer are oriented parallel rather than antiparallel. To observe PREs close to the N-terminus, a  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ -to-peptide ratio of 0.02:1 proved adequate, while a ratio of 0.4:1 led to more significant PREs for the entire length of the peptide at the expense of excessive line broadening of the first nine residues. In order to obtain data over the entire length of the peptide at 0.12 mM  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ , we extrapolated unobservable PREs from PREs measured at the next lower  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  concentration, using a scaling factor determined by the average ratio of the PREs of the first few residues observable at both  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  concentrations.

The result is shown in Fig. 3a. The PREs decay less steeply than expected for a distance dependence following  $r^{-6}$ . Following residue 12, the PREs display maxima and minima along the amino acid sequence with a periodicity of 3 to 4 residues. This suggests that these relaxation rates arise from non-specific PREs rather than from the  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex bound near the N-terminus. Comparison with the crystal structure of the GCN4 leucine zipper shows that, indeed, the minima and maxima closely correspond to the most solvent protected and most solvent exposed amide protons (Fig. 4).

To verify the contribution from non-specific PREs, we repeated the PRE measurements at a fivefold increased peptide concentration (1.5 mM), while the overall concentration of  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  remained the same. As expected for a bulk solvent effect, the PREs of the C-terminal half of the peptide barely changed. The bulk solvent effect is also manifested by enhanced PRE of the C-terminal amide proton which is more highly solvent exposed than the amides of the preceding residues (Fig. 2). In contrast, the increased peptide concentration led to significantly smaller PREs for the N-terminal residues which is readily explained by the smaller fraction of peptide molecules carrying the  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  complex specifically bound to the N-terminus.

The overall PRE,  $\text{PRE}^{\text{total}}$ , can be written as the sum of  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$  and  $\text{PRE}^{\text{solvent}}$ , where  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$  is due to a specifically bound  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex near the N-terminus, and  $\text{PRE}^{\text{solvent}}$  arises from a non-specific bulk effect including non-specifically associated  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ .  $\text{PRE}^{\text{solvent}}$  appeared to be independent of peptide concentration, as the PREs of residues far from the N-terminus of the peptide were practically independent of peptide



**Fig. 1**  $^{15}\text{N}$ -HSQC spectra of a 0.3 mM solution of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled GCN4 leucine zipper at different concentrations of  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$

concentration. In contrast,  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$  is proportional to the population  $p$  of peptide with specifically bound  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  which can be calculated from the binding constant. Therefore, the following two equations can be used to describe the experiments A and B performed at different peptide concentrations:


$$\text{PRE}_A^{\text{total}} - \text{PRE}_B^{\text{total}} = \text{PRE}_A^{\text{bound}} - \text{PRE}_B^{\text{bound}} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{PRE}_A^{\text{bound}} / \text{PRE}_B^{\text{bound}} = p_A / p_B \quad (3)$$

from which we calculated the  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$  and  $\text{PRE}^{\text{solvent}}$  values of Fig. 3b. The figure shows that  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$  effects are pronounced only for the first 14 residues, whereas the

PREs of the residues following Ser14 can readily be attributed to the bulk effect.

Unexpectedly,  $\text{PRE}^{\text{solvent}}$  seems to increase towards the N-terminus in concert with  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$ . The large error ranges associated with the  $\text{PRE}^{\text{solvent}}$  values of the N-terminal residues indicate, however, that the decomposition into  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$  and  $\text{PRE}^{\text{solvent}}$  is unreliable. It is important to note that the metal position determined from the PRE data is little sensitive with regard to the accuracy of the decomposition. Even if all of the steep increase in  $\text{PRE}^{\text{solvent}}$  towards the N-terminus (residues 3–9 in Fig. 3b) was added to  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$ , the resulting distance restraints would change by less than 5%.




**Fig. 2** Amide proton transverse PREs of 0.3 mM (black) and 1.5 mM (green) solutions of GCN4 leucine zipper at different concentrations of [Gd(DPA)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> as indicated

#### Determination of the metal position


The PRE<sup>bound</sup> data of Fig. 3b (Table S4) were used to fit the position of the metal ion in the dimer by reference to the 3D structure. The crystal structure (PDB code 2ZTA; O'Shea et al. 1991) is not perfectly symmetric while the NMR spectrum displays only a single set of signals, showing that the dimer is symmetric in the time average (Junius et al. 1995; O'Donoghue et al. 1996, Oas et al. 1990, O'Shea et al. 1991). For simplified analysis, we therefore used a symmetrised model for the fits, where monomer 2 of the crystal structure was replaced by monomer 1 following superimposition of monomer 1 on monomer 2 for minimal backbone rmsd. The fits of the PREs used the equation (Kowalewski et al. 1985).

$$\Gamma_2 = 1/2 \sum_{i=1,2} 1/15(\mu_0/4\pi)^2 (\hbar/2\pi)^2 \gamma_H^2 (g\mu_B)^2 \times S(S+I)r_i^{-6} [4\tau + 3\tau/(1 + \omega_H^2\tau^2)] \quad (4)$$

which describes the average paramagnetic enhancement  $\Gamma_2$  of the transverse relaxation rate of two symmetry-related



**Fig. 3** Overall profiles of transverse <sup>1</sup>H PREs of the amide protons of GCN4 leucine zipper. Inserts show the PREs of residues 7–33 on an expanded scale. The plots report PREs for 0.3 mM leucine zipper and 0.12 mM [Gd(DPA)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>. Values for residues near the N-terminus were extrapolated from data measured at lower [Gd(DPA)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> concentrations (see main text). **a** Total PREs ( $\Gamma_2$ ). **b** Decomposition of the total PREs in **a** into PREs from specifically bound [Gd(DPA)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>, PRE<sup>bound</sup> (blue) and from a non-specific bulk solvent effect, PRE<sup>solvent</sup> (red)



**Fig. 4** Stereoview of the GCN4 leucine zipper (crystal structure, PDB code 2ZTA; O'Shea et al. 1991) highlighting the amide protons showing maxima (red spheres) and minima (blue spheres) of PRE<sup>solvent</sup> in Fig. 3b. Note that the crystal structure shows no electron density for the C-terminal two residues, i.e. the view ends with Gly31. The N-termini are at the top of the view. The maxima and minima of PRE<sup>solvent</sup> clearly correlate with solvent exposure



protons in the dimer that are located at distances  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , respectively, from the paramagnetic center.  $\mu_0$  is the susceptibility of vacuum,  $h$  Planck's constant,  $\gamma_H$  the magnetogyric ratios of the proton,  $g$  the Landé factor,  $\mu_B$  the Bohr magneton,  $S$  the electronic spin quantum number,  $\omega_H$  the Larmor frequency, and  $\tau$  the effective correlation time given by

$$1/\tau = 1/T_{1e} + 1/\tau_r + 1/\tau_M \quad (5)$$

where  $T_{1e}$  is the electronic relaxation time,  $\tau_r$  the rotational correlation time of the molecule, and  $\tau_M$  the lifetime of the  $Gd^{3+}$ -protein adduct.

Attempts to fit the metal coordinates and the correlation time  $\tau$  simultaneously identified a metal position that was located too far from the peptide to form any contacts. We therefore reduced the number of variables in the fit by estimating  $\tau$  as follows. The rotational diffusion of a leucine-zipper is highly anisotropic (Mackay et al. 1996). For a metal binding site near the N-terminus, however, the relevant correlation time  $\tau_r$  is predominantly determined by the slow molecular tumbling around axes perpendicular to the long axis of the dimer rather than by the faster rotation around the long axis.  $^{15}N$  relaxation is similarly governed by the slow tumbling process, as the amide bonds of the helices are also predominantly aligned with the long axis of the dimer. The transverse relaxation rates  $R_2(^{15}N)$  of the amide nitrogens were close to  $20 \text{ s}^{-1}$  all along the sequence of the peptide (Fig. S4). Assuming an order parameter  $S^2 = 0.9$ , this translates into  $\tau_r = 13.4 \text{ ns}$ . The residence time  $\tau_M$  of the  $[Gd(DPA)_3]^{3-}$  complex near the N-terminus is much longer and therefore can be neglected in Eq. 5. An estimate for  $\tau_M$  can be obtained by assuming a diffusion limited on-rate  $k_{on} = 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  which, combined with  $K_D = 0.5 \text{ mM}$ , yields an off-rate  $k_{off} = K_D \cdot k_{on} = 5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , the inverse of which corresponds to  $\tau_M$ .

The electronic relaxation time  $T_{1e}$  can be determined from the ratio of transverse and longitudinal PREs ( $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$ ) of the amide protons (Pintacuda et al. 2004b). This ratio, measured at 800 MHz, decreased from Lys3, where it was about 430, to about 30 near the C-terminus (Fig. S5). A  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$  ratio of 430 translates into an effective correlation time  $\tau$  of 5 ns. Combined with  $\tau_r = 13.4 \text{ ns}$  and a long residence time  $\tau_M$  of the specifically bound  $[Gd(DPA)_3]^{3-}$  complex,  $\tau = 5 \text{ ns}$  corresponds to  $T_{1e} = 8 \text{ ns}$  (Eq. 5), in good agreement with  $T_{1e}$  values determined for different  $Gd^{3+}$  complexes by EPR (Benmelouka et al. 2007). It is interesting to note that  $\tau$  is not very sensitive to the  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$  ratio in the regime of large  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$  values. For example, a  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$  ratio of about 900 would have translated into  $\tau = 7.3 \text{ ns}$ .


The metal position was found by minimizing the sum of the squared deviations between experimental and back-calculated transverse PREs of each amide proton using

Eq. 4 with  $\tau = 5 \text{ ns}$ ,  $\omega_H = 2\pi \cdot 800 \text{ MHz}$ , and  $S = 7/2$  for  $Gd^{3+}$ :

$$\Gamma_2/[s^{-1}] = 5.1 \cdot 10^9 \cdot 1/2 \sum_{i=1,2} (r_i/[\text{\AA}])^{-6} \quad (6)$$

Equation 6 is valid irrespective of whether one or two  $[Gd(DPA)_3]^{3-}$  complexes are bound to the dimer, as either binding site leads to relaxation enhancements of all nuclear spins in the dimer and fast chemical exchange between the two sites ensures that all nuclear spins experience an average relaxation rate. Under our conditions (0.3 mM peptide, 0.12 mM  $[Gd(DPA)_3]^{3-}$ ,  $K_D = 0.5 \text{ mM}$ ), only one in 7.5 binding sites was occupied by the Gd-DPA complex. Therefore, we scaled the experimentally measured PREs 7.5 fold before fitting. (Using the  $K_D$  values determined from the signals of Lys3 and Leu5 (0.7 and 0.38 mM, respectively, Fig. S1) would have changed this scaling factor relatively little, namely to 9.0 and 6.3, respectively.)

Figure 5 shows the two symmetry-related metal ion positions obtained from the fit. The binding site of the  $[Gd(DPA)_3]^{3-}$  complex is between the side chain of Met2 of one monomer and the N-terminus and the side chain of Lys3 of the other monomer. The symmetry-related metal positions are separated by about 13 Å. Under the conditions used, however, each  $[Gd(DPA)_3]^{3-}$  binding site was populated to less than 15% and dimers with two bound  $[Gd(DPA)_3]^{3-}$  complexes would have occurred with a probability of less than 2%.



**Fig. 5** Stereoview of the GCN4 leucine zipper with bound  $[Gd(DPA)_3]^{3-}$  complexes. The metal positions (magenta balls) were determined using the  $PRE^{bound}$  values of Table S4. The amino acid side chains are shown in a heavy atom representation in the following colors: blue (Arg, His, Lys), red (Asp, Glu), grey (Asn, Gln, Ser, Tyr), yellow (Ala, Leu, Met, Val). The orientation of the DPA molecules of the  $[Gd(DPA)_3]^{3-}$  complexes has not been determined experimentally

## Assessment of the dimer structure by PCSs

The metal coordinates obtained from the PRE data were used to assist the fitting of PCSs detected with the corresponding DPA complexes of  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ , and  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  (Table 1). As only a small number of PCSs could be detected for each metal ion (Table S5), prior knowledge of the metal coordinates led to an important reduction in the number of parameters. As expected, the PCSs observed with Tm-, Tb- and Yb-DPA complexes were in better agreement with the structure of dimeric leucine zipper than with a single monomeric helix of the dimer (Fig. 6).

To probe the information content of the PCSs about the dimer interface, we produced 35 additional models, where each helix was rotated around its axis (defined by the 10 amino terminal residues) in 10 degree increments. The symmetry of the dimer was maintained by rotating the monomers in the opposite sense. In addition, the metal position was retained by treating the complex of helix and  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  as a rigid unit. Fig. 7 shows the sum of the squared deviations of the best fits obtained for each of the 36 models versus the rotation angle. For  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$ , the unrotated dimer model clearly produced the best fit. The  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  data suggested a better fit with a model rotated by  $30^\circ$ , but as the PRE effect associated with  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  broadened the amide proton signals of residues 3–5 beyond detection, the fit of the  $\Delta\chi$  tensor of  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  was less reliable. This illustrates the power of PCSs to define the dimer interface in solution but also the importance of probing the immediate environment of the metal ion.

Without prior knowledge of the metal position, even discrimination between monomer and dimer models by PCSs would have been ambiguous. In fact, using exclusively the PCSs of  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$ , and  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  in a multi-parameter fit to the dimer structure yielded a metal ion position  $4.5 \text{ \AA}$  from the position determined by PREs. Even

**Table 1**  $\Delta\chi$  tensor parameters of paramagnetic  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complexes bound to dimeric leucine zipper<sup>a</sup>

$\text{Ln}^{3+}$	$\Delta\chi_{\text{ax}} (10^{-32} \text{ m}^3)$	$\Delta\chi_{\text{rh}} (10^{-32} \text{ m}^3)$
$\text{Tb}^{3+ \text{ a}}$	−31.1	−7.1
$\text{Tm}^{3+ \text{ a}}$	18.9	11.8
$\text{Yb}^{3+ \text{ b}}$	8.7	4.6

<sup>a</sup> The tensor parameters obtained by Numbat (Schmitz et al. 2008) were scaled 4.2-fold to relate to the 1:1 complex of  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  to leucine zipper monomer. This accounts for averaging in Numbat (see Materials and Methods) and the fact that only 1 in 2.1 peptide molecules are associated with a  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex at peptide and  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  concentrations of 0.1 and 0.5 mM, respectively

<sup>b</sup> The tensor parameters were scaled 5.8-fold to account for peptide and  $[\text{Yb}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  concentrations of 0.1 and 0.3 mM, respectively (only one in 2.9 peptide molecules were associated with a  $[\text{Yb}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex)

including the proportionality factor in Eq. 6 as an additional fitting parameter shifted the metal position by less than  $2.4 \text{ \AA}$  (data not shown), illustrating the robustness with which the metal position can be determined from short-range PREs.

## Comparison of $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ with $\text{Gd}(\text{DTPA-BMA})$ as an indicator of solvent accessibility


The bulk solvent effect was evident in unaltered PRE effects, when data were recorded at fivefold increased peptide concentrations (Fig. 2). The lifetime  $\tau_M$  of the intermolecular adducts contributing to the bulk solvent effect is short. The  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$  ratio of 35 observed for the amide of Val30 with  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  (Fig. S5) indicates an effective correlation time  $\tau_c$  of 1.4 ns which, using Eq. 5 with  $T_{1e} = 8 \text{ ns}$  and  $\tau_r = 13.4 \text{ ns}$  yields  $\tau_M = 2 \text{ ns}$ . This is longer than  $\tau_M = 0.6 \text{ ns}$  reported previously for the uncharged  $\text{Gd}(\text{DTPA-BMA})$  complex (Pintacuda and Otting 2002).  $\tau_M$  increases to 2.3 ns if one assumes  $\tau_r = 6.7 \text{ ns}$  to account for the possibility that the effective rotational correlation time of the bulk solvent PRE is predominantly governed by rotation along the long axis of the dimer. In any case, the DPA complex appears to be more “sticky” than the DTPA-BMA complex. This is also evidenced by the PRE maximum observed for the amide proton of His18 (Fig. 8) which can be explained by a preference of the DPA complex for positively charged amino acid side chains. Interaction of the negative charge of the DPA complex with the helix dipole moment may also explain the gradual increase in the bulk solvent contribution to the PRE towards the N-terminus, as favourable charge-charge interaction would be expected to lead to longer lifetimes of non-specific intermolecular adducts. This interpretation is supported by  $\Gamma_2$  measurements performed with GCN4 leucine zipper and the uncharged complex  $\text{Gd}(\text{DTPA-BMA})$  which showed much more uniform PREs along the helix (Fig. 8). In addition, the PRE effects observed with 2 mM  $\text{Gd}(\text{DTPA-BMA})$  were always smaller than those achieved with 0.12 mM  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ , indicating that  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  associates more readily with protein surfaces.

## Discussion

### Accuracy of the metal position determined by PREs from $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$

The non-covalent complex between  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  and the GCN4 leucine zipper allows determination of the metal binding site with unprecedented accuracy. Using PCSs only to find the metal position in a known protein structure

**Fig. 6** Back-calculated versus experimental pseudocontact shifts of backbone amide protons of the GCN4 leucine zipper. The experimental PCS data were recorded at a molar ratio of  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  to protein of 5:1 in the cases of the  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  complexes and at a molar ratio of 3:1 in the case of the  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  complex (Table S5). Fits to the dimer structure are clearly better than fits to a single helix of the leucine zipper (monomer). All fits used the metal ion position determined from the PRE data generated with  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$





usually produces much less stable results for a number of reasons. (1) PCS data require an eight-parameter fit, including the x, y and z-coordinates of the metal position, the axial and rhombic components of the  $\Delta\chi$  tensor and three angles describing its orientation with respect to the protein structure. In contrast, PRE data can be directly converted into distance restraints and only the metal coordinates need to be fitted. (2) Metal ions generating large PCSs invariably also produce large PREs. Therefore, choosing a strongly paramagnetic metal ion tends to yield PCSs for a greater number of nuclei, but PCSs of nuclei

close to the metal ion are difficult to observe. Choosing a weakly paramagnetic metal ion renders PCSs of nuclei near the metal ion observable, but the number of nuclei with significant PCSs is limited. More accurate fits can be obtained by using PCSs from strongly and weakly paramagnetic metal ions simultaneously (Man et al. 2010), although this also increases the number of parameters that need to be fitted. (3) The much steeper distance dependence of PREs versus PCSs (i.e.  $r^{-6}$  versus  $r^{-3}$ ) renders distance measurements by PREs less sensitive to experimental error.



**Fig. 7** Sum of the squared deviations,  $\chi^2$ , of the best fits of the amide proton PCSs observed for  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complexes with  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  (black solid line),  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  (black dashed line) and  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$  (red solid line). The  $\chi^2$  values are plotted against the rotation angle of the helices (see main text)



**Fig. 8** Amide proton transverse PREs of an 0.3 mM solution of GCN4 leucine zipper with 2 mM  $\text{Gd}(\text{DTPA-BMA})$  (dashed line) and 0.12 mM  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  (solid line) versus amino acid sequence

As  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$ , and nitroxide radicals all generate pronounced PREs, it is usually difficult to observe signals of nuclei close to these paramagnetic centers, making it correspondingly difficult to pinpoint the metal position. Furthermore, most available paramagnetic tags attach the paramagnetic center to the protein via flexible linkers (Su and Otting 2010) leading to intrinsically ambiguous metal positions. The  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex solves both problems, as the PREs can be scaled down by using smaller quantities of the  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex and specific binding to the protein with good affinity is likely to involve only a single well-defined low-energy binding site. Partial saturation of conventional, covalently attached metal tags with  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  is not a viable alternative as all known tags display slow chemical exchange between bound and free metal ion.

The conversion of PREs into distance restraints depends on the population of the binding site with the gadolinium complex, the contribution of the specific versus bulk solvent effect, and the effective correlation time. In principle, all these parameters can be taken into account by including the proportionality factor between the PRE and  $r_1$  (Eq. 6) in the fit as a fitting parameter. In the case of the GCN4 leucine zipper, however, this resulted in a less accurate

metal position with unrealistically few contacts between the  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  complex and the peptide. Fortunately, the correct proportionality factor can be estimated with acceptable accuracy without a host of additional measurements.

Due to the steep distance dependence of PREs, even a twofold error in the population of bound peptide, effective correlation time, or  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$  changes the distance restraints by less than 13%. Therefore, the metal position could still be determined with high accuracy if no attempt was made to separate  $\text{PRE}^{\text{bound}}$  from  $\text{PRE}^{\text{total}}$  (Fig. 3b). It is beneficial that the metal position is governed by the shortest distance restraints, because the fit which minimizes the sum of the squared deviations between experimental and back-calculated PREs is governed by the large PREs of the nuclear spins nearest to the paramagnetic center.

The elongated shape of the leucine zipper renders description by a single rotational correlation time inaccurate. In the case of a different, somewhat longer leucine zipper, the correlation time for rotations along the long axis of the dimer was found to be about twofold shorter than for motions along a perpendicular axis (Mackay et al. 1996). The influence of the rotational correlation time on the effective correlation time is, however, reduced when it is longer than the electronic relaxation time or the exchange lifetime (Eq. 5). The present work determined an electronic relaxation time  $T_{1e}$  of 8 ns for the  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex.

A simplified protocol would estimate the effective correlation time by using the  $T_{1e}$  value determined here and estimating the rotational correlation time of the protein from its molecular weight. In the case of the GCN4 leucine zipper, adequate PRE values for fitting the metal position would have been obtained by subtracting a rate of  $100 \text{ s}^{-1}$  from every  $\text{PRE}^{\text{total}}$  value of Fig. 3a and ignoring any resulting negative PRE values. The fraction of protein molecules with bound DPA complex can readily be

measured by titration with a  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex that amplifies the chemical shift changes by inducing PCSs. With these parameters, the metal position can be determined from two-point relaxation measurements in the presence and absence of  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$ , using a single protein concentration and a single  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  concentration which must be sufficiently low to allow measurement of PRE effects in the vicinity of the paramagnetic center. The optimal concentrations can readily be estimated from the binding affinity.

#### Restraints for analysis of quaternary structure

Using the  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex alone to elucidate the structure of the GCN4 homodimer would be much more difficult to achieve than by the use of PCSs because, due to the steep distance dependence of PRE effects, the fit of the metal coordinates is strongly dominated by the shorter one of the distances to the two symmetry-related protons. Therefore, short-range PREs and long-range PCSs present highly complementary structure restraints.

In general, determination of the quaternary structure would be best performed by a simultaneous fit of PREs and PCSs during modelling, including symmetry constraints if necessary. Such a protocol would be particularly important, if the metal ion is positioned so close to the dimer interface that the contribution of the symmetry related spin to the average PRE can no longer be neglected.

#### Requirements of binding kinetics

So long as the presence of a  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex bound to one of the monomers of the dimer does not affect binding of a second  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex to the other monomer, the fast exchange of the lanthanide-DPA complex between monomers ensures that the relative magnitudes of PREs and PCSs observed for different peptide resonances are the same for the 1:2 and 2:2 complexes of  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  to peptide (monomer). This greatly facilitates data analysis.

Adequate averaging of the relaxation rates of peptide molecules with and without bound paramagnetic complex requires that averaging between the free and bound peptide molecules is faster than the fastest relaxation rate. For a typical diffusion limited on-rate  $k_{\text{on}} = 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  and a dissociation constant  $K_{\text{D}} = 1 \mu\text{M}$ , the off-rate  $k_{\text{off}} = K_{\text{D}} \cdot k_{\text{on}} = 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$  would be faster than  $4,000 \text{ s}^{-1}$  which was the fastest relaxation rate determined for the GCN4 leucine zipper (Fig. 3). Therefore, weakly binding paramagnetic complexes readily fulfill the condition of fast exchange. Complexes binding with  $K_{\text{D}} < 1 \mu\text{M}$  are usually in the slow exchange regime which would easily be detected in a titration experiment.

## Conclusion

The combination of PREs and PCSs induced by non-covalently binding  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complexes presents outstanding opportunities for the analysis of protein structure and protein–ligand interactions. Although many proteins bind  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complexes with high site-specificity (Su et al. 2009), the presence or absence of natural binding sites cannot always be predicted. In the case of the GCN4 leucine-zipper, specific binding of the negatively charged lanthanide-DPA complex near the N-terminus is probably supported by the helix dipole moment. For proteins devoid of natural  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  binding sites, a specific binding site can readily be created by site-directed mutagenesis (H. Yagi, unpublished results). Combined with the use of the  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complex to determine the metal position accurately, this opens the door to applications of PCSs induced by  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  complexes in challenging structure analysis projects. It is particularly encouraging that the present analysis of the GCN4 homodimer could be performed using exclusively data from well-resolved  $^{15}\text{N}$ - $^1\text{H}$  correlation spectra.

**Acknowledgments** Financial support by the Australian Research Council is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- Arnesano F, Banci L, Piccioli M (2005) NMR structures of paramagnetic metalloproteins. *Quart Rev Biophys* 38:167–219
- Baker RT, Catanzariti AM, Karunasekara Y, Soboleva TA, Sharwood R, Whitney S, Board PG (2005) Using deubiquitylating enzymes as research tools. *Methods Enzymol* 398:540–554
- Balayssac S, Bertini I, Bhaumik A, Lelli M, Luchinat C (2008) Paramagnetic shifts in solid-state NMR of proteins to elicit structural information. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 105:17284–17289
- Benmelouka M, Borel A, Moriggi L, Helm L, Merbach AE (2007) Design of Gd(III)-based magnetic resonance imaging contrast agents: static and transient zero-field splitting contributions to the electronic relaxation and their impact on relaxivity. *J Phys Chem B* 111:832–840
- Bertini I, Luchinat C, Parigi G, Pierattelli R (2008) NMR spectroscopy of paramagnetic metalloproteins. *Dalton Trans* 2008:3782–3790
- Bloembergen N, Morgan LO (1961) Proton relaxation times in paramagnetic solutions. Effects of electron spin relaxation. *J Chem Phys* 34:842–850
- Clore GM, Iwahara J (2009) Theory, practice, and applications of paramagnetic relaxation enhancement for the characterization of transient low-population states of biological macromolecules and their complexes. *Chem Rev* 109:4108–4139
- Farrow NE, Muhandiram R, Singer AU, Pascal SM, Kay CM, Gish G, Shoelson SE, Pawson T, Forman-Kay JD, Kay LE (1994) Backbone dynamics of a free and a phosphopeptide-complexed Src homology 2 domain studied by  $^{15}\text{N}$  NMR relaxation. *Biochemistry* 33:5984–6003
- Ferretti JA, Weiss GH (1989) One-dimensional nuclear Overhauser effects and peak intensity measurements. *Meth Enzymol* 176:3–11

- Guéron M (1975) Nuclear relaxation in macromolecules by paramagnetic ions—novel mechanism. *J Magn Reson* 19:249–273
- Iwahara J, Tang C, Clore GM (2007) Practical aspects of  $^1\text{H}$  transverse paramagnetic relaxation enhancement measurements on macromolecules. *J Magn Reson* 184:185–195
- Junius FK, Mackay JP, Bubb WA, Jensen SA, Weiss AS, King GF (1995) Nuclear magnetic resonance characterization of the Jun leucine-zipper domain—unusual properties of coiled-coil interfacial polar residues. *Biochemistry* 34:6164–6174
- Koradi R, Billeter M, Wüthrich K (1996) MOLMOL: a program for display and analysis of macromolecular structures. *J Mol Graphics* 14:51–55
- Kowalewski J, Nordenskiöld L, Benetis N, Westlund PO (1985) Theory of nuclear-spin relaxation in paramagnetic systems in solution. *Prog NMR Spectr* 17:141–185
- Mackay JP, Shaw GL, King GF (1996) Backbone dynamics of the c-Jun leucine zipper:  $^{15}\text{N}$  NMR relaxation studies. *Biochemistry* 35:4867–4877
- Man B, Su XC, Liang H, Simonsen S, Huber T, Messerle BA, Otting G (2010) 3-Mercapto-2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid: a small lanthanide-binding tag for protein studies by NMR spectroscopy. *Chem Eur J* 16:3827–3832
- Matousek WM, Ciani B, Fitch CA, Garcia-Moreno B, Kammerer RA, Alexandrescu AT (2007) Electrostatic contributions to the stability of the GCN4 leucine zipper structure. *J Mol Biol* 374:206–219
- O'Donoghue SI, King GF, Nilges M (1996) Calculation of symmetric multimer structures from NMR data using a priori knowledge of the monomer structure, co-monomer restraints, and interface mapping: the case of leucine zippers. *J Biomol NMR* 8:193–206
- O'Shea EK, Rutkowski R, Kim PS (1989) Evidence that the leucine zipper is a coiled coil. *Science* 243:538–542
- O'Shea EK, Klemm JD, Kim PS, Alber T (1991) X-ray structure of the GCN4 leucine zipper, a 2-stranded, parallel coiled coil. *Science* 254:539–544
- Oas TG, McIntosh LP, O'Shea EK, Dahlquist FW, Kim PS (1990) Secondary structure of a leucine zipper determined by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* 29:2891–2894
- Otting G (2008) Prospects for lanthanides in structural biology by NMR. *J Biomol NMR* 42:1–9
- Pintacuda G, Otting G (2002) Identification of protein surfaces by NMR measurements with a paramagnetic Gd(III) chelate. *J Am Chem Soc* 124:372–373
- Pintacuda G, Kaikkonen A, Otting G (2004a) Modulation of the distance dependence of paramagnetic relaxation enhancements by CSAxDSA cross-correlation. *J Magn Reson* 171:233–243
- Pintacuda G, Moshref A, Leonchiks A, Sharipo A, Otting G (2004b) Site-specific labelling with a metal chelator for protein-structure refinement. *J Biomol NMR* 29:351–361
- Pintacuda G, John M, Su XC, Otting G (2007) NMR structure determination of protein-ligand complexes by lanthanide labelling. *Acc Chem Res* 40:206–212
- Schmitz C, Stanton-Cook MJ, Su XC, Otting G, Huber T (2008) Numbat: an interactive software tool for fitting  $\Delta\chi$ -tensors to molecular coordinates using pseudocontact shifts. *J Biomol NMR* 41:179–189
- Solomon I (1955) Relaxation processes in a system of two spins. *Phys Rev* 99:559–565
- Su XC, Otting G (2010) Paramagnetic labelling of proteins and oligonucleotides for NMR. *J Biomol NMR* 46:101–112
- Su XC, Liang H, Loscha KV, Otting G (2009)  $[\text{Ln}(\text{DPA})_3]^{3-}$  is a convenient paramagnetic shift reagent for protein NMR studies. *J Am Chem Soc* 131:10352–10353
- Ubbink M (2009) The courtship of proteins: understanding the encounter complex. *FEBS Lett* 583:1060–1066
- Vega AJ, Fiat D (1976) Nuclear relaxation processes of paramagnetic complexes. The slow-motion case. *Mol Phys* 31:347–355
- Vold RL, Waugh JS, Klein MP, Phelps DE (1968) Measurement of spin relaxation in complex systems. *J Chem Phys* 48:3831–3832
- Zweckstetter M, Schnell JR, Chou JJ (2005) Determination of the packing mode of the coiled-coil domain of cGMP-dependent protein kinase I $\alpha$  in solution using charge-predicted dipolar couplings. *J Am Chem Soc* 127:11918–11919